TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY 2019/20





How Plymouth will invest to grow and meet future Infrastructure needs

Foreword



Councillor Mark Lowry Cabinet Member for Finance

"This Strategy demonstrates the network of controls that are in place to ensure our investments are secure.

It also demonstrates our commitment to sound management and control of the Council's cash and investments.

It also shows how the Council's ambitious capital programme will be funded and offers much greater openness and transparency to residents and stakeholders"



Andrew Hardingham Assistant Director for Finance

"This Strategy is designed to underpin the Council's ambition to invest in the future of Plymouth. It offers a series of opportunities to manage the Council's finances to maximise returns, reduce risk, diversify investments and minimise the cost of borrowing.

The strategy will keep us within our prescribed limits under the Prudential Code. The Council is seeking at all times to deliver good investment returns that are secure and affordable."


Contents

Part I – Executive Summary – how we invest and borrow

| Introduction | 5 |
|---|----|
| National Context | 9 |
| Part 2 – Technical Detail for Analysis | |
| Borrowing Strategy | 12 |
| Prudential Indicators | 15 |
| Investment Strategy | 18 |
| Non-Treasury Management Investment Strategy | 25 |
| Minimum Revenue Statement | 30 |
| Other Items | 31 |
| Other Options Considered | 32 |
| Appendix A – Economic and Interest Rate Forecast October 2018 | 33 |
| Appendix B - Existing Investment and Debt Portfolio Position | 35 |



Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL



OFFICIAL

This section explains how we invest and borrow

Introduction

Treasury Management is the management of the Council's cash flows, borrowing and investments, and the associated risks. The Treasury Management Strategy sets out how Plymouth will invest to grow and meet future Infrastructure needs. It is a companion document to the Medium Term Financial Strategy which sets out Plymouth's ambitions and priorities from the Plymouth Plan.

This report fulfils the Council's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Code which was updated in 2017.

INVESTMENTS – FACTS AT A GLANCE

| To achieve the best sectTo minimise the cost of | borrowing pread of maturities and commitments |
|--|---|
| Market Intelligence | |
| Bank of England reports | |
| • | Council's advisers Arlingclose |
| | |
| | Investments |
| | Sterling only |
| | Repayable in 12 months |
| | Can use UK Government, Local Authority or a body of high credit quality |
| | |
| | • The Council defines "high credit quality" organisations and securities as |
| | those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher that are domiciled in the UK |
| | Counterparties and Limits (see table on page 19) |
| Statutory | Investment Limits – subject to credit ratings table on page 20 |
| and | No limit UK Government |
| Performance | • £25m any single organisation |
| Framework | |
| | • £45m any group of organisations |
| Rules that guide us | • £30m per pooled fund manager |
| | £25m negotiable instruments per broker |
| | £10m per foreign country |
| | £25m per registered provider |
| | £10m unsecured with Building Societies |
| | • £20m unrated corporates and pooled funds |
| | • £60m money market funds |
| | Key Council Budget Assumption for 2019/20 |
| | Investments make an average rate of return of 1.49% |
| | Investments make an average rate of return of 1.47% |
| | Objective - Security first, Yield second and then Liquidity |
| Approach | Strategy - to maximise returns, reduce risk and diversify investments |
| | Risk Assessment and credit ratio Our advisors monitor credit ratings daily |
| Choices made within the | so any new investments will be made using the latest credit information |
| framework | Other information on security of Investments Market intelligence from |
| | our advisors may give warnings before credit warning changes e.g. credit default |
| | swaps information |

BORROWING – FACTS AT A GLANCE

Principles and Objectives of the Treasury Management Strategy


- To achieve the best secure investment returns
- To minimise the cost of borrowing
- To achieve a balanced spread of maturities and commitments
- To achieve the right mix of borrowing vehicles

Market Intelligence

- Bank of England reports
- Market Outlook by the Council's advisers Arlingclose

| | Borrowing £249m Total Capital Expenditure £765m Capital Finance Requirement (need to borrow) £835m Total Debt (loans and private finance initiative) £842m Operational Boundary (practical ceiling on borrowing) £920m The Authorised Limit (absolute maximum debt approved) |
|---|---|
| Statutory | Prudential Indicators 8.7% Ratio of finance costs to net revenue stream (borrowing costs as a proportion of net revenue budget) £14.40 Hypothetical increase in Council Tax affordability. (this is technical measure; the Council has made no future years tax decisions) |
| and Performance Framework Rules that guide us | Treasury Management Indicators 100% Limit on Fixed Interest Exposure 100% Limit on Variable Interest Rate 0% to 90% Maturity Structure of Borrowing, exposure in any duration |
| | Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Annuity Method 50 year repayment for capitalisation directives PFI/Leases determined by the specific agreement No MRP on capital loans or investments Option for capital receipts to be used towards MRP |
| | Key Council Budget Assumption for 2019/20 |
| | New long-term loans will cost an average rate of 3.25% |
| Approach Choices made within the framework | Objective - Balance low interest rates with long term certainty Strategy – to borrow short term now and lock in long term when appropriate Sources Approved by Arlingclose - Banks or Building Society, Public Works Loan Board, Pension Funds, Capital Market Bonds, Municipal Bonds Agency, anyone with whom we would invest. Also, Leasing, PFI, Sale & Lease back LOBOs will be repaid if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders Municipal Bonds Agency Council will use where appropriate Debt Restructuring A present value calculation based on current rates for the same period of loan may result in a discount or premium. Council will re-schedule if it reduces cost or risk |

Delivering the Plymouth plans explains why we are borrowing and investing



Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL Our Corporate Plan includes themes of infrastructure and investment

Corporate Plan

The Corporate Plan sets out our vision to be 'one of Europe's most vibrant cities' and our priorities are to be 'A Growing City' and 'A Caring Council'.

OUR PLAN A CITY TO BE PROUD OF



CITY VISION Britain's Ocean City

One of Europe's most vibrant waterfront cities, where an outstanding quality of life is enjoyed by everyone.

OUR MISSION Making Plymouth a fairer city, where everyone does their bit.

OUR VALUES



HOW WE WILL DELIVER

Listening to our customers and communities.

Providing quality public services. Motivated, skilled and engaged staff.


Spending money wisely. A strong voice for Plymouth regionally and nationally.

www.plymouth.gov.uk/ourplan

Plymouth Britain's Ocean City

Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL

Strategy Reports:



The diagram above shows how the requirements of the 2018 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) Guidance and The CIPFA Code interact with the Capital and Treasury Management. There is a new Capital Strategy (presented in a separate document) and a new Non-Treasury Management Investment Strategy (shown as service and commercial in the diagram) included in this document.

Specialist advisers Arlingclose support the Council with borrowing and investment advice. This is Arlingclose's expert assessment of the economy in the coming months and years.

Economic update from Treasury Management advisors Arlingclose

The UK's progress negotiating its exit from the European Union, together with its future trading arrangements, will continue to be a major influence on the Authority's treasury management strategy for 2019/20.

UK Consumer Price Inflation (CPI) for October was up 2.4% year/year, slightly below the consensus forecast and broadly in line with the Bank of England's November Inflation Report. The most recent labour market data for October 2018 showed the unemployment rate edged up slightly to 4.1% while the employment rate of 75.7% was the joint highest on record. The 3-month average annual growth rate for pay excluding bonuses was 3.3% as wages continue to rise steadily and provide some pull on general inflation. Adjusted for inflation, real wages grew by 1.0%, a level still likely to have little effect on consumer spending.

The rise in quarterly GDP growth to 0.6% in Q3 from 0.4% in the previous quarter was due to weather-related factors boosting overall household consumption and construction activity over the summer following the weather-related weakness in Q1. At 1.5%, annual GDP growth continues to remain below trend. Looking ahead, the BoE, in its November Inflation Report,

expects GDP growth to average around 1.75% over the forecast horizon, providing the UK's exit from the EU is relatively smooth.

Following the Bank of England's decision to increase Bank Rate to 0.75% in August, no changes to monetary policy has been made since. However, the Bank expects that should the economy continue to evolve in line with its November forecast, further increases in Bank Rate will be required to return inflation to the 2% target. The Monetary Policy Committee continues to reiterate that any further increases will be at a gradual pace and limited in extent.

While US growth has slowed over 2018, the economy continues to perform robustly. The US Federal Reserve continued its tightening bias throughout 2018, pushing rates to the current 2%-2.25% in September. Markets continue to expect one more rate rise in December, but expectations are fading that the further hikes previously expected in 2019 will materialise as concerns over trade wars drag on economic activity.

This is Arlingclose's view of the risks of bank failures in the period ahead.

Credit Outlook

The big four UK banking groups have now divided their retail and investment banking divisions into separate legal entities under ringfencing legislation. Bank of Scotland, Barclays Bank UK, HSBC UK Bank, Lloyds Bank, National Westminster Bank, Royal Bank of Scotland and Ulster Bank are the ringfenced banks that now only conduct lower risk retail banking activities. Barclays Bank, HSBC Bank, Lloyds Bank Corporate Markets and NatWest Markets are the investment banks. Credit rating agencies have adjusted the ratings of some of these banks with the ringfenced banks generally being better rated than their non-ringfenced counterparts.

The Bank of England released its latest report on bank stress testing, illustrating that all entities included in the analysis were deemed to have passed the test once the levels of capital and potential mitigating actions presumed to be taken by management were factored in. The BoE did not require any bank to raise additional capital.

European banks are considering their approach to Brexit, with some looking to create new UK subsidiaries to ensure they can continue trading here. The credit strength of these new banks remains unknown, although the chance of parental support is assumed to be very high if ever needed. The uncertainty caused by protracted negotiations between the UK and EU is weighing on the

creditworthiness of both UK and European banks with substantial operations in both jurisdictions.

This is Arlingclose's expert view on future interest rates.

Interest Rate Forecast

Following the increase in Bank Rate to 0.75% in August 2018, the Authority's treasury management adviser Arlingclose is forecasting two more 0.25% hikes during 2019 to take official UK interest rates to 1.25%. The Bank of England's MPC has maintained expectations for slow and steady rate rises over the forecast horizon. The MPC continues to have a bias towards tighter monetary policy but is reluctant to push interest rate expectations too strongly. Arlingclose believes that MPC members consider both that ultra-low interest rates result in other economic problems, and that higher Bank Rate will be a more effective policy weapon should downside Brexit risks crystallise when rate cuts will be required.

The UK economic environment remains relatively soft, despite seemingly strong labour market data. Arlingclose's view is that the economy still faces a challenging outlook as it exits the European Union and Eurozone growth softens. While assumptions are that a Brexit deal is struck and some agreement reached on transition and future trading arrangements before the UK leaves the EU, the possibility of a "no deal" Brexit still hangs over economic activity (at the time of writing this commentary in mid-December). As such, the risks to the interest rate forecast are considered firmly to the downside.

Gilt yields and hence long-term borrowing rates have remained at low levels but some upward movement from current levels is expected based on Arlingclose's interest rate projections, due to the strength of the US economy and the ECB's forward guidance on higher rates. 10-year and 20-year gilt yields are forecast to remain around 1.7% and 2.2% respectively over the interest rate forecast horizon, however volatility arising from both economic and political events are likely to continue to offer borrowing opportunities.


A more detailed economic and interest rate forecast provided by Arlingclose is attached at Appendix A.

For the purpose of setting the budget, it has been assumed that new investments will be made at an average rate of 1%, and that new long-term loans will be borrowed at an average rate of 3.25%.

Part 2 – Technical Detail for Analysis

Borrowing





These are borrowing limits we are required to set by law. They are affordable levels and needed to fund our capital programme.

Maximum Total Debt

The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement. Usable reserves and working capital are the underlying resources available for investment. The current strategy is not to borrow to the full underlying need. Some internal resources are used instead of external borrowing.

CIPFA's Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities sets a maximum for total debt. This is the maximum the CFR is expected to reach at any time during the next three years.

The Council held \pounds 367 million of loans in as at 31 March 2018. This was an increase of \pounds 77 million on the previous year. The increase in loans is because of funding previous years' capital programmes.

The Council expects to hold borrowing up to \pounds 713m in 2019/20. The total borrowing must not exceed the authorised limit set by the Council of \pounds 834 million.

We seek low interest rates, but it is good to be as sure as possible what our interest costs will be in future years.

Objectives of Borrowing Decisions

- To strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest and certainty of costs.
- Flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Council's long-term plans change.

Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL

It is much cheaper to borrow for a short period now. Before long term rates rise we intend to lock into fixed rate loans.

Borrowing Strategy

Short term interest rates are currently much lower than longer-term rates. It is likely to be more cost effective to use internal resources, or to borrow short-term. This will reduce net borrowing costs in the short term but long term borrowing rates are forecast to rise modestly. The benefits of deferring long term borrowing will be monitored regularly.

Alternatively, the Council may arrange forward starting loans. In a forward starting loan the interest rate is fixed in advance but is drawn later. Such loans give certainty of cost without suffering a cost of carry.

We are always looking at options to replace existing loans with cheaper alternatives.

In addition, the Council may borrow short-term loans to cover unexpected cash flow shortages.

The Council will take the option to repay LOBO loans if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders.

The Council will reschedule or repay loans where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk.

The Council will only borrow from approved sources.

These are the lenders we are able to use.

Sources of Borrowing

The approved sources of long-term and short-term borrowing are:

- Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) and any successor body
- Any institution approved for investments (see below)
- Any other bank or building society authorised to operate in the UK
- Any other UK public sector body
- UK public and private sector pension funds (except Devon Local Government Pension Fund)
- Capital market bond investors
- UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc and other special purpose companies created to enable local authority bond issues
- Any other counterparty that are approved by the authority's TM advisors.
- A Plymouth City Council bond

In addition, capital finance may be raised by the following methods that are not borrowing, but may be classed as other debt liabilities:

- Leasing
- Hire purchase
- Private Finance Initiative
- Sale and leaseback

The Authority has previously raised some of its long-term borrowing from the PWLB and through

These agreements were entered into under different market conditions. Where possible we will replace them with lower cost loans.

Lender's Option Borrower's Option (LOBOs)

During 2018/19 the Council refinanced a LOBO for £11m to reduce the Council total LOBO loans to £71m.

The Council holds £71m of LOBO loans where the lender has the option to propose an increase in the interest rate as set dates, following which the Council has the option to either accept the new rate or to repay the loan at no additional cost.

The Council understands that lenders are unlikely to exercise their options in the current low interest rate environment but there remains an element of refinancing risk.

The Council will take the option to repay LOBO loans if there is a NPV saving and if there is agreement with the lenders.

This allows the flexibility to borrow from the Municipal Bonds Agency

Municipal Bond Agency

UK Municipal Bonds Agency plc was established in 2014 by the Local Government Association as an alternative to the PWLB. It plans to issue bonds on the capital markets and lend the proceeds to local authorities. This will be a more complicated source of finance than the PWLB for two reasons: borrowing authorities may be required to provide bond investors with a joint and several guarantee over the very small risk that other local authority borrowers default on their loans; and there will be a lead time of several months between committing to borrow and knowing the interest rate payable. Any decision to borrow from the Agency will therefore be the subject of a separate report to the Treasury Management Board.

Short-term and Variable Rate loans

These loans leave the Council exposed to the risk of short-term interest rate rises and are therefore subject to the interest rates exposure limits in the treasury management indicators below.

If we can, we will replace existing loans with cheaper new loans.

Debt Rescheduling

Some lenders allow the Council to repay loans before maturity and either pay a premium or receive a discount according to a set formula based on current interest rates. Other lenders may also be prepared to negotiate premature redemption terms. The Council may take advantage of this and replace some loans with new loans, or repay loans without replacement, where this is expected to lead to an overall cost saving or a reduction in risk.

Prudential Indicators 2019/20

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities* (the Prudential Code) when determining how much money it can afford to borrow. The objectives of the Prudential Code are to ensure, within a clear framework, that the capital investment plans of local authorities are affordable, prudent and sustainable, and that treasury management decisions are taken in accordance with good professional practice. To demonstrate that the Council has fulfilled these objectives, the Prudential Code sets out the following indicators that must be set and monitored each year.

Estimates of Capital Expenditure

The Council's planned capital expenditure and financing may be summarised as follows.

This is how we will fund the investment needed to deliver the Plymouth Plan

| Capital Expenditure and Financing | 2017/18 Actual £m | 2018/19 Estimate £m | 2019/20 Estimate £m | 2021/22 Estimate £m |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| General Fund | 96.232 | 215.644 | 248.735 | 115.834 |
| Total Expenditure | 96.232 | 215.644 | 248.735 | 115.834 |
| Capital Receipts | 2.096 | 6.305 | 4.367 | 0.376 |
| Grants and Contributions | 29.830 | 71.676 | 57.013 | 35.437 |
| Reserves | - | - | - | - |
| Revenue | 0.296 | 2.342 | 1.947 | 0.178 |
| Borrowing | 64.010 | 135.321 | 185.408 | 79.843 |
| Leasing and PFI | - | - | - | - |
| Total Financing | 96.232 | 215.644 | 248.735 | 115.834 |

Estimates of Capital Financing Requirement

The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) measures the Council's underlying need to borrow for a capital purpose.

| Capital Financing Requirement | 31 Mar 18 Actual £m | | Estimate | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| General Fund | 444.603 | 579.924 | 765.332 | 845.175 |
| Total CFR | 444.603 | 579.924 | 765.332 | 845.175 |

The CFR is forecast to rise by \pounds 400m over the next three years as capital expenditure financed by debt outweighs resources put aside for debt repayment.

Gross Debt and the Capital Financing Requirement

In order to ensure that over the medium term debt will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence.

This is how much we expect to borrow over the three years

| Debt | 31 Mar 19 Estimate £m | 31 Mar 20 Estimate £m | 31 Mar 21 Estimate £m |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Borrowing | 522.000 | 613.000 | 698.000 |
| PFI liabilities & Finance Leases | 122.000 | 122.000 | 122.000 |
| Total Debt | 644.000 | 835.000 | 820.000 |

Total debt is expected to remain below the CFR during the forecast period.

Operational Boundary for External Debt

The operational boundary is based on the Council's estimate of most likely, (i.e. prudent, but not worst case) scenario for external debt.

This is the flexibility we need to cope with our changing borrowing position from day to day.

| Operational Boundary | 2018/19 £m | 2019/20 £m | 2020/21 £m |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Borrowing | 550.000 | 720.000 | 795.000 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 122.000 | 122.000 | 122.000 |
| Total Debt | 672.000 | 842.000 | 917.000 |

Authorised Limit for External Debt

The Authorised Limit is the affordable borrowing limit determined in compliance with the Local Government Act 2003 it is the maximum amount of debt that the Council can legally owe. The Authorised Limit provides headroom over and above the operational boundary for unusual cash movements.

This is the absolute maximum of debt approved by the City Council

| Authorised Limit | 2018/19 £m | 2019/20 £m | 2020/21 £m |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Borrowing | 645.000 | 775.000 | 825.000 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 145.000 | 145.000 | 145.000 |
| Total Debt | 790.000 | 920.000 | 970.000 |

Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream

This is an indicator of affordability and highlights the revenue implications of existing and proposed capital expenditure by identifying the proportion of the revenue budget required to meet financing costs, net of investment income.

This measure demonstrates that our proposed borrowing is affordable.

| Ratio of Financing Costs to Net Revenue Stream | 2018/19 Actual | | |
|---|-------------------|------|-------|
| General Fund | 6.4% | 8.7% | 11.3% |

Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions

This is an indicator of affordability that shows the impact of capital investment decisions on Council Tax levels. The incremental impact is the difference between the total revenue budget requirement of the current approved capital programme and the revenue budget requirement arising from the capital programme proposed.

This is a technical measure prescribed by CIPFA to demonstrate affordability. The Council has not made any decisions on council tax levels in future years.

| Incremental Impact of Capital Investment Decisions | 2018/19 Actual | | |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|
| General Fund - increase in annual band D Council Tax | £12.10 | £14.40 | £18.10 |

Adoption of the CIPFA Treasury Management Code

The Council adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2011 edition in April 2002. It fully complies with the Codes recommendations.

This explains how the new CIPFA and MHCLG rules on investments have been extended to include non-Treasury Management Investments

Introduction

The Authority invests its money for three broad purposes:

- because it has surplus cash as a result of its day-to-day activities, for example when income is received in advance of expenditure (known as **treasury management investments**),
- to support local public services by lending to or buying shares in other organisations (service investments), and
- to earn investment income (known as **commercial investments** where this is the main purpose).

This investment strategy is a new report for 2019/20, meeting the requirements of statutory guidance issued by the government in January 2018, and focuses on the second and third of these categories.

This sets out how we invest any surplus funds. Security of the funds is paramount

The Council holds invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. In the past 12 months, the Council's investment balance has ranged between £65m and £85m million, and is expected to remain about the same in the forthcoming year.

Objectives

Both the CIPFA Code and the MHCLG Guidance requires the Council to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its investments before seeking the highest rate of return, or yield. The Council's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk receiving unsuitably low investment income. Where balances are expected to be invested for more than one year, the Council will aim to achieve a total return that is equal or higher than the prevailing rate of inflation, in order to maintain the spending power of the sum invested.

The Council typically receives its income in cash (e.g. from taxes and grants) before it pays for its expenditure in cash (e.g. through payroll and invoices). It also holds reserves for future expenditure. These activities, plus the timing of borrowing decisions, lead to a cash surplus which is invested in accordance with guidance from CIPFA. The balance of treasury management investments is expected to fluctuate between £60m and £110m during the 2019/20 financial year.

The Council defines "high credit quality" organisations and securities as those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher that are domiciled in the UK or a foreign country with a sovereign rating of [AA+] or higher. For money market funds and other pooled funds "high credit quality" is defined as those having a credit rating of [A-] or higher or if unrated an assessment will be made from the financial information available.

Contribution: The contribution that these investments make to the objectives of the Council is to support effective treasury management activities.

These are the limits we use for making individual investments. They are based on advice from Arlingclose.

Approved investment counterparties and limits

| Credit Rating | Banks Unsecured | Banks Secured | Government | Corporates | Registered Providers |
|------------------|---|------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| UK Govt | n/a | n/a | £ Unlimited 50 years | n/a | n/a |
| AAA | £6m | £12m | £20m | £6m | £6m |
| | 5 years | 20 years | 50 years | 20 years | 20 years |
| AA+ | £6m | £12m | £12m | £6m | £6m |
| | 5 years | 10 years | 25 years | 10 years | 10 years |
| AA | £6m | £12m | £12m | £6m | £6m |
| | 4 years | 5 years | 15 years | 5 years | 10 years |
| AA- | £5m | £12m | £12m | £6m | £6m |
| | 3 years | 4 years | 10 years | 4 years | 10 years |
| A+ | £5m | £12m | £6m | £6m | £6m |
| | 2 years | 3 years | 5 years | 3 years | 5 years |
| A | £4m | £12m | £6m | £6m | £6m |
| | I3 months | 2 years | 5 years | 2 years | 5 years |
| A- | £4m | £12m | £6m | £6m | £6m |
| | 6 months | 13 months | 5 years | 13 months | 5 years |
| • | Inrated pooled funds, corporates £20m per fund or trust fund or trust | | | | |

This table must be read in conjunction with the notes below

Investment Limits

The Council's revenue reserves available to cover investment losses were £60 million on 31 March 2018. No more than 60% of available reserves will be put at risk in the case of a single organisation (other than the UK Government). When considering investment limits in the chart below you must also refer to the credit ratings of the individual organisations (see table above) to make the final assessment.

Limits will also be placed on fund managers, investments in brokers' nominee accounts, foreign countries and industry sectors as below. Investments in pooled funds and multilateral development banks do not count against the limit for any single foreign country, since the risk is diversified over many countries.

Investment Limits

| | Cash limit |
|--|------------------|
| Any single organisation, except the UK Central Government | £25m |
| UK Central Government | Unlimited |
| Any group of organisations under the same ownership | £45m per group |
| Any group of pooled funds under the same management | £30m per manager |
| Negotiable instruments held in a broker's nominee account | £25m per broker |
| Foreign countries | £10m per country |
| Registered Providers | £25m in total |
| Unsecured investments with Building Societies | £10m in total |
| Loans and investments to unrated corporates and pooled funds | £25m in total |
| Money Market Funds | £60m in total |

Liquidity Management

The Council uses a cash flow forecasting spreadsheet to determine the amount of cash required on a day to day basis to determine the maximum period for which funds may prudently be committed. The forecast is compiled on a prudent basis to minimise the risk of the Council being forced to borrow on unfavourable terms to meet its financial commitments. Limits on long-term investments are set by reference to the Council's medium term financial plan and cash flow forecast.

This is the rate we expect to pay on new borrowing, and how much we expect to earn on investments.

Council Budget Assumptions for 2019/20

- Investments will make an average rate of 1.49%
- New long-term loans will cost an average rate of 3.25%

Negative Interest Rates

If the UK enters into a recession in 2019/20, there is a small chance that the Bank of England could set its Bank Rate at or below zero, which is likely to feed through to negative interest rates on all low risk, shortterm investment options. This situation already exists in many other European countries. In this event, security will be measured as receiving the contractually agreed amount at maturity, even though this may be less than the amount originally invested.

Strategy

Given the increased risk and very low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the Council aims to further diversify into more secure and higher yielding asset classes during 2019/20. The Council holds \pounds 35m as a longer-term investment (CCLA Property Fund, CCLA Diversified Fund and Schroder's Income Maximiser) and these give a higher return than the short term investments.

The majority of the Council's surplus cash is currently invested in short-term unsecured bank deposits, certificates of deposit, pooled funds and money market funds. The Council will continue to look for investment opportunities that give a good return whilst being a secure investment.

Business models:

Under the new IFRS 9 standard, the accounting for certain investments depends on the Council's "business model" for managing them. The Council aims to achieve value from its internally managed treasury investments by a business model of collecting the contractual cash flows and therefore, where other criteria are also met, these investments will continue to be accounted for at amortised cost.

Approved Counterparties

The Council may invest its surplus funds with any of the counterparty types in counterparty table below, subject to the cash limits (per counterparty) and the time limits shown.

Credit Rating

Investment limits are set by reference to the lowest published long-term credit rating from a selection of external rating agencies. Where available, the credit rating relevant to the specific investment or class of investment is used, otherwise the counterparty credit rating is used. However, investment decisions are never made solely based on credit ratings, and all relevant factors including external advice will be taken into account.

Banks Unsecured

Accounts, deposits, certificates of deposit and senior unsecured bonds with banks and building societies, other than multilateral development banks. These investments are subject to the risk of credit loss via a bail-in should the regulator determine that the bank is failing or likely to fail. See below for arrangements relating to operational bank accounts.

Banks Secured

Covered bonds, reverse repurchase agreements and other collateralised arrangements with banks and building societies. These investments are secured on the bank's assets, which limits the potential losses in the unlikely event of insolvency, and means that they are exempt from bail-in. Where there is no investment specific credit rating, but the collateral upon which the investment is secured has a credit rating, the higher of the collateral credit rating and the counterparty credit rating will be used to determine cash and time limits. The combined secured and unsecured investments in any one bank will not exceed the cash limit for secured investments.

Government

Loans, bonds and bills issued or guaranteed by national governments, regional and local authorities and multilateral development banks. These investments are not subject to bail-in, and there is generally a lower risk of insolvency, although they are not zero risk. Investments with the UK Central Government may be made in unlimited amounts for up to 50 years.

Corporates

Loans, bonds and commercial paper issued by companies other than banks and registered providers. These investments are not subject to bail-in, but are exposed to the risk of the company going insolvent. Loans to unrated companies will only be issued following finance due diligence, loan agreement and security assessment.

Registered Providers

Loans and bonds issued by, guaranteed by or secured on the assets of Registered Providers of Social Housing and registered social landlords, formerly known as Housing Associations. These bodies are tightly regulated by the Regulator of Social Housing and, as providers of public services, they retain the likelihood of receiving government support if needed.

Pooled Funds

Shares or units in diversified investment vehicles consisting of the any of the above investment types, plus equity shares and property. These funds have the advantage of providing wide diversification of investment risks, coupled with the services of a professional fund manager in return for a fee. Short-term Money Market Funds that offer same-day liquidity and very low or no volatility will be used as an alternative to instant access bank accounts, while pooled funds whose value changes with market prices and/or have a notice period will be used for longer investment periods.

Bond, equity and property funds offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile in the short term. These allow the Council to diversify into asset classes other than cash without the need to own and manage the underlying investments. Because these funds have no defined maturity date, but are available for withdrawal after a notice period, their performance and continued suitability in meeting the Council's investment objectives will be monitored regularly.

Real estate investment trusts

Shares in companies that invest mainly in real estate and pay the majority of their rental income to investors in a similar manner to pooled property funds. As with property funds, REITs offer enhanced returns over the longer term, but are more volatile especially as the share price reflects changing demand for the shares as well as changes in the value of the underlying properties.

Operational Bank Accounts

The Council may incur operational exposures, for example though current accounts, collection accounts and merchant acquiring services, to any UK bank with credit ratings no lower than AAA- and with assets greater than $\pounds 25$ billion. These are not classed as investments, but are still subject to the risk of a bank bail-in, and balances should be kept below $\pounds 5m$ per bank. The Bank of England has stated that in the event of failure, banks with assets greater than $\pounds 25$ billion are more likely to be bailed-in than made insolvent, increasing the chance of the Council maintaining operational continuity.

Risk Assessment and Credit Ratings

Credit ratings are obtained and monitored by the Council's treasury advisers, who will notify changes in ratings as they occur. Where an entity has its credit rating downgraded so that it fails to meet the approved investment criteria then:

- No new investments will be made
- Any existing investments that can be recalled or sold at no cost will be, and
- Full consideration will be given to the recall or sale of all other existing investments with the affected counterparty

Where a credit rating agency announces that a credit rating is on review for possible downgrade (also known as "rating watch negative" or "credit watch negative") so that it may fall below the approved rating criteria, then only investments that can be withdrawn on the next working day will be made with that organisation until the outcome of the review is announced. This policy will not apply to negative outlooks, which indicate a long-term direction of travel rather than an imminent change of rating.

Other Information on the Security of Investments

The Council understands that credit ratings are good, but not perfect, predictors of investment default. Full regard will therefore be given to other available information on the credit quality of the organisations in which it invests, including credit default swap prices, financial statements, information on potential government support and reports in the quality financial press and analysis and advice from the Council's treasury management adviser. No investments will be made with an organisation if there are substantive doubts about its credit quality, even though it may otherwise meet the above criteria.

When deteriorating financial market conditions affect the creditworthiness of all organisations, as happened in 2008 and 2011, this is not generally reflected in credit ratings, but can be seen in other market measures. In

these circumstances, the Council will restrict its investments to those organisations of higher credit quality and reduce the maximum duration of its investments to maintain the required level of security.

The extent of these restrictions will be in line with prevailing financial market conditions. If these restrictions mean that insufficient commercial organisations of high credit quality are available to invest the Council's cash balances, then the surplus will be deposited with the UK Government, via the Debt Management Office or invested in government treasury bills for example, or with other local authorities. This will cause a reduction in the level of investment income earned, but will protect the principal sum invested.

Treasury Management Indicators

The Council measures and manages its exposures to treasury management risks using the following indicators.

This is how we measure our performance.

Security

The Council has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to credit risk by monitoring the value-weighted average credit rating of its investment portfolio. This is calculated by applying a score to each investment (AAA=1, AA+=2, etc.) and taking the arithmetic average, weighted by the size of each investment. Unrated investments are assigned a score based on their perceived risk.

| | Target |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Portfolio average credit rating | А |

This is how we ensure that we have cash available to meet unexpected payments.

Liquidity:

The Council does not keep large amounts of cash in call accounts so that it reduces the cost of carrying excess cash. To mitigate the liquidity risk of not having cash available to meet unexpected payments the Council has access to borrow additional, same day, cash from other local authorities.

This is a technical measure to limit how much we can be affected by changing interest rates.

Interest Rate Exposures

This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to interest rate risk. The upper limits on fixed and variable rate interest rate exposures, expressed as the proportion of net principal borrowed will be:

| | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| Upper limit on fixed interest rate exposure | 100% | 100% | 100% |
| Upper limit on variable interest rate exposure | 100% | 100% | 100% |

Fixed rate investments and borrowings are those where the rate of interest is fixed for at least 12 months, measured from the start of the financial year or the transaction date if later. All other instruments are classed as variable rate.

Our loans fall due for repayment at various dates. We expect to have mainly fixed rate debt for longer loans. This avoids the risk of extra interest costs.

Maturity Structure of Borrowing

This indicator is set to control the Council's exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing will be:

| | Upper | Lower |
|--------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Under 12 months | 90% | 10% |
| 12 months and within 24 months | 40% | 0% |
| 24 months and within 5 years | 40% | 0% |
| 5 years and within 10 years | 50% | 0% |
| 10 years and above | 80% | 10% |

Time periods start on the first day of each financial year. The maturity date of borrowing is the earliest date on which the lender can demand repayment.

Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than 365 days

The purpose of this indicator is to control the Council's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits on the long-term principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end will be:

| | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Limit on principal invested beyond one year | £45m | £50m | £50m |

Non-Treasury Management Investments

Introduction

The non-treasury management investment strategy is a new report for 2019/20, following the requirements of statutory guidance issued by the government (MHCLG) in January 2018, and focuses on the second and third of the following investment categories.

The Council invests its money for three broad purposes:

- 1. Treasury Management Investments to invest surplus cash from reserves and as a result of its day-to-day activities, for example when income is received in advance of expenditure;
- 2. Service Investments to support local public services by lending to or buying shares in other organisations; and
- 3. Commercial Investments to earn investment income (where this is the main purpose).

Treasury Management Investments

The Council typically receives its income in cash (e.g. from taxes and grants) before it pays for its expenditure in cash (e.g. through payroll and invoices). It also holds reserves for future expenditure and collects local taxes on behalf of other local authorities and central government. These activities, plus the timing of borrowing decisions, lead to a cash surplus which is invested in accordance with the CIPFA guidance.

The contribution that these investments make to the objectives of the Council is to support effective treasury management activities.

Full details of the Council's policies and its plan for 2019/20 for treasury management investments are covered in the preceding sections of this document.

Service Investments

Loans

The council has discretion to make loans for a number of reasons, primarily for economic development. These loans are treated as capital expenditure.

The council will ensure that a full due diligence exercise is undertaken and adequate security is in place. The business case will balance the benefits and risks. All loans are agreed by the Section 151 Officer and the Leader. All loans will be subject to close, regular monitoring.

The Council may lend money to its subsidiaries, its suppliers, local businesses, local charities or housing associations to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth. For example Ernesettle Community Solar Farm.

The loans are treated as capital expenditure.

Security: The main risk when making service loans is that the borrower will be unable to repay the principal lent and/or the interest due.

Accounting standards require the Council to set aside loss allowance for loans, reflecting the likelihood of non-payment. The figures for loans in the Council's statement of accounts from 2018/19 onwards will be shown net of this loss allowance. However, the Council makes every reasonable effort to collect the full sum lent and has appropriate credit control arrangements in place to recover overdue repayments.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding service loans by:

- 1. reviewing the financial statements of the organisation and reviewing the organisation's business plans and future projections and future cash flows;
- 2. assessing what security is available to secure the loan and if necessary carry out a professional valuation of any property;
- 3. using external advisors to provide professional information such as due diligence requirements;
- 4. the loans are reviewed by our by our external advisors to ensure that they accounted for correctly;
- 5. if an organisation has a credit rating we will carry out a credit check to assist;
- 6. State Aid rules must be taken into account before a loan can be considered.

<u>Shares</u>

The Council may invest in the shares of its subsidiaries, its suppliers, and local businesses to support local public services and stimulate local economic growth.

Security: One of the risks of investing in shares is that they fall in value meaning that the initial outlay may not be recovered.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding shares by reviewing the history of the organisation; its financial statements and its share values. The Council will also look at business plans, future cash flows and any other market information that may affect the organisation.

Liquidity: The Council covers its liquidity for working capital and cash flow by being able to borrowing short term loans from other local authorities.

Commercial Investments: Property

The MHCLG defines property to be an investment if it is held primarily or partially to generate a profit.

Contribution: The Council invests in local, commercial and residential property with the intention of making a profit that will be spent on local public services.

Property held for investment purposes

| Asset Investment Fund | Actual 2017/18 | Estimate 2018/19 | Forecast 2019/20 |
|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Commercial Property | £67.368 | £147.000m | £160,000m |
| Net Income | £1.166 | £1.600m | £2.500m |
| Net Return* | 1.7% | 2.1% | 2.1% |

*based on a full year of ownership of the properties

Security: In accordance with government guidance, the Council considers a property investment to be secure if its accounting valuation is at or higher than its purchase cost including taxes and transaction costs.

A fair value assessment of the Council's investment property portfolio has been made within the past twelve months, and the underlying assets provide security for capital investment.

Where the fair value of the Council's investment property portfolio is no longer sufficient to provide security against loss, and the Council will therefore taking mitigating actions to protect the capital invested. These actions include enhancing or refurbishing the assets and reviewing the rents agreements.

Risk assessment: The Council assesses the risk of loss before entering into and whilst holding property investments by carrying out the evaluation process described below. The risk of not achieving the desired profit or borrowing costs increasing or the having vacant premises is partially covered by a void reserve. Annual payments are deducted from the rental income each year to add to the void reserve.

Liquidity: Compared with other investment types, property is relatively difficult to sell and convert to cash at short notice, and can take a considerable period to sell in certain market conditions. To ensure that the invested funds can be accessed when they are needed, for example to repay capital borrowed, the Council makes an internal charge (service borrowing) to cover the capital repayments from the rental income.

The Council also makes alternative arrangement to cover their short term cash requirements.

Proportionality

The Council is dependent on profit generating investment activity to achieve a balanced revenue budget. Table 4 below shows the extent to which the expenditure planned to meet the service delivery objectives and/or place making role of the Council is dependent on achieving the expected net profit from investments over the lifecycle of the Medium Term Financial Plan.

Table 4: Proportionality of Investments

| | 2017/18 Actual |
|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Gross service expenditure | £550.417m |
| Gross Investment income | £7.496m |
| Proportion | I.4% |

Borrowing in Advance of Need

Government guidance is that local authorities must not borrow more than or in advance of their needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. The Council has chosen not to follow this guidance and has previously borrowed for this purpose because it wants to generate income to support its statutory duties. This is a common practice by local authorities since the new guidance was issued.

Investment Evaluation Process

The Council's due diligence assessment processes are consistent and robust evaluation process and is set out below:

1. Proposed investment opportunities are reported by suitably qualified and experienced in-house MRICS (Member of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors) professionals.

- 2. This assessment provides analysis of a set of key criteria against which every prospective purchase is evaluated. The presentation of information highlights fundamental matters such as tenant covenant strength, lease length and location, in a transparent and consistent format, to support clear scrutiny and decisions.
- 3. The assessment provides a basis for scoring and weighting risk, to support the analysis of potential acquisitions and qualify overall suitability for inclusion in the portfolio.
- 4. The score threshold is not an absolute, but helps guide decisions.
- 5. To ensure arms-length objectivity, external agents provide professional market analysis, data and advice, in the context of the Property Investment Strategy, to support the evaluation and internal reporting process.
- 6. Since tenant default is a significant threat to the performance of the property investment financial checks are made on the proposed tenants. This is augmented by additional internal assessment of tenants' covenant and likely future performance.
- 7. With all the additional information a detailed model is produced. The model is tailored for each prospective investment, by including items such as future demand, yield, cash flows; rental movement, optimal holding periods for the property and data to support profitability modelling.
- 8. If a decision is made to proceed, in-house surveyors lead negotiations, via the introducing/retained external agents, who are professional property firms.
 - A valuation, in accordance with the RICS Red Book, Professional Valuation Standards, issued by RICS as part of their commitment to promoting and support high standards in valuation delivery worldwide. The publication details mandatory practices for RICS members undertaking valuation services.
 - A Building Survey report, as part of the proposed purchase for investment purpose, including preparation of a Site Environmental Assessment and preparation of a Reinstatement Cost Assessment for insurance purposes.
- 9. The above is reviewed by the Asset Portfolio Manager as an experienced in-house MRICS (Member of the Royal Institute of Chartered Surveyors) professional, with support from the internal multidisciplinary property teams, for final decision by the Head of Land and Property on whether to proceed.
- 10. Head of Land and Property Projects receives regular updates on market activity, trends, forecasts and occupier activity from RICS firms and in-house surveyors to support the decision process.

Property Investment Governance

Clear, robust and transparent governance is critical to the strategy, meeting the statutory guidance and ensuring an appropriate level of due diligence and scrutiny is applied, together with objective arms-length external advice where appropriate. It is also important to ensure any decision process retains fluidity, so officers are empowered to respond promptly and competitively, to investment opportunities in the market and avoid missing opportunities through delay.

The authority to acquire or dispose of land is vested in the Head of Land and Property and where the land is purchased through the Asset Investment Fund a proposal is presented to the City Capital Investment Board (CCIB) a recommended for authorisation by the relevant Leader, Legal and the Section 151 Officer. Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL 28

Capacity, Skills and Culture

The Council employs professionally qualified and experienced staff in senior positions with responsibility for making capital expenditure, borrowing and investment decisions. For example, the Service Director of Finance is a qualified accountant with over 20 years' experience.

The Council employs staff with professional qualifications including CIPFA, ACCA, CIMA, MRICS, CIPS etc. and pays for junior staff to study towards relevant qualifications.

Where Council staff do not have the knowledge and skills required, use is made of external advisers and consultants that are specialists in their field. The Council currently employs Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers. This approach is more cost effective than employing such staff directly, and ensures that the Council has access to knowledge and skills commensurate with its risk appetite.

Head of Land and Property and the property team receives regular updates on market activity, trends, forecasts and occupier activity from RICS firms and in-house surveyors to support the decision process.

How investments are funded:

Asset Investment Fund commercial property purchases are funded by borrowing. The borrowing is not directly taken out against each property but is managed through our Treasury Management function.

The rental income generated from the purchasing of commercial property is used to repay the borrowing before the net income is used in the supporting of services.

Rate of return received: This indicator shows the investment income received less the associated costs, including the cost of borrowing where appropriate, as a proportion of the sum initially invested. Note that due to the complex local government accounting framework, not all recorded gains and losses affect the revenue account in the year they are incurred.

Loan Commitments and Financial Guarantees

Although not strictly counted as investments, since no money has exchanged hands yet, loan commitments and financial guarantees carry similar risks to the Council.

Annual Minimum Revenue Provision Statement 2019/20

Where the Council finances capital expenditure by debt, it must put aside resources to repay that debt in later years. The amount charged to the revenue budget for the repayment of debt is known as Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP), although there has been no statutory minimum since 2008.

The Local Government Act 2003 requires the Council to have regard to the MHCLG's Guidance on Minimum Revenue Provision updated in 2018. The broad aim of the MHCLG Guidance is to ensure that debt is repaid over a period which the asset provides benefits.

The MHCLG Guidance requires the Council to approve an Annual MRP Statement each year, and recommends a number of options for calculating a prudent amount of MRP.

Minimum Revenue Position Policy

MRP will be determined by charging the expenditure over the expected useful life of the asset on an annuity method, starting in the year after the asset becomes operational. MRP on purchases of freehold land will be charged over 50 years.

The MRP payment is funded from revenue with an option that part or all of the payment could be funded from capital receipts.

MRP will commence in the financial year following the asset coming into use or after purchase.

Expenditure funded by borrowing where the project is being built and is not complete at 31st March 2018 (classified as under construction). MRP will be deferred until the construction is complete and operational with the charge to be made in the year following completion.

External Loans

For capital expenditure loans to third parties that are repaid in instalments of principal, the Council will make nil MRP, but will instead apply the capital receipts arising from principal repayments to reduce the capital financing requirement instead.

Any loan or investment to an organisation defined as capital expenditure will not attract MRP. The original capital expenditure will be repaid from the capital receipts arising from the loan repayments made by the external organisation.

Capitalisation Directions

For capitalisation directions on expenditure incurred since I April 2008 MRP will be made using the annuity method over 50 years.

PFI/Leases

MRP in respect of PFI and leases brought on the Balance Sheet under the 2009 SORP and IFRS will match the annual principal repayment for the associated deferred liability.

Other Items

There are a number of additional items that the Council is obliged by CIPFA or MHCLG to include in its Treasury Management Strategy.

Policy on use of Financial Derivatives

Local authorities have previously made use of financial derivatives embedded into loans and investments both to reduce interest rate risk (e.g. interest rate collars and forward deals) and to reduce costs or increase income at the expense of greater risk (e.g. LOBO loans and callable deposits). The general power of competence in Section 1 of the *Localism Act 2011* removes much of the uncertainty over local authorities' use of standalone financial derivatives (i.e. those that are not embedded into a loan or investment).

The Council will only use standalone financial derivatives (such as swaps, forwards, futures and options) where they can be clearly demonstrated to reduce the overall level of the financial risks that the Council is exposed to. Additional risks presented, such as credit exposure to derivative counterparties, will be taken into account when determining the overall level of risk. Embedded derivatives, including those present in pooled funds and forward starting transactions, will not be subject to this policy, although the risks they present will be managed in line with the overall treasury risk management strategy.

Financial derivative transactions may be arranged with any organisation that meets the approved investment criteria. The current value of any amount due from a derivative counterparty will count against the counterparty credit limit and the relevant foreign country limit.

Investment Training

The needs of the Council's treasury management staff for training in investment management are assessed every twelve months as part of the staff appraisal process, and additionally when the responsibilities of individual members of staff change.

Staff regularly attend training courses, seminars and conferences provided by Arlingclose and CIPFA. Relevant staffs are also encouraged to study professional qualifications from CIPFA, the Association of Corporate Treasurers and other appropriate organisations.

Investment Advisers

The Council has appointed Arlingclose Limited as treasury management advisers and receives specific advice on investment, debt and capital finance issues. The quality of this service is controlled by quarterly review meetings and periodically tendering for the provision of Treasury Management Consultancy services.

Investment of Money Borrowed in Advance of Need

The Council may, from time to time, borrow in advance of need, where this is expected to provide the best long term value for money. Since amounts borrowed will be invested until spent, the Council is aware that it will be exposed to the risk of loss of the borrowed sums, and the risk that investment and borrowing interest rates may change in the intervening period. These risks will be managed as part of the Council's overall management of its treasury risks.

The total amount borrowed will not exceed the authorised borrowing limit. The maximum period between borrowing and expenditure is expected to be less than one year, although the Council is not required to link particular loans with particular items of expenditure.

Other options considered

The MHCLG Guidance and the CIPFA Code do not prescribe any particular treasury management strategy for local authorities to adopt. The Section 151 Officer, having consulted the Cabinet Member for Finance, believes that the above strategy represents an appropriate balance between risk management and cost effectiveness. Some alternative strategies, with their financial and risk management implications, are listed below.

| Alternative | Impact on income and expenditure | Impact on risk management |
|--|---|---|
| Invest in a narrower range of counterparties and/or for shorter times | Interest income will be lower | Lower chance of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses may be greater |
| Invest in a wider range of counterparties and/or for longer times | Interest income will be higher | Increased risk of losses from credit related defaults, but any such losses may be smaller |
| Borrow additional sums at long-term fixed interest rates | Debt interest costs will rise; this is unlikely to be offset by higher investment income | Higher investment balance leading to a higher impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs may be more certain |
| Borrow short-term or variable loans instead of long-term fixed rates | Debt interest costs will initially be lower | Increases in debt interest costs will be broadly offset by rising investment income in the medium term, but long term costs may be less certain |
| Reduce level of borrowing | Saving on debt interest is likely to exceed lost investment income | Reduced investment balance leading to a lower impact in the event of a default; however long-term interest costs may be less certain |

Appendix A – Arlingclose Economic and Interest Rate Forecast October 2018

Underlying assumptions

- The MPC left Bank Rate unchanged at the September meeting, after voting unanimously to increase Bank Rate to 0.75% in August.
- Our projected outlook for the UK economy means we maintain the significant downside risks to our interest rate forecast. The UK economic environment is relatively soft, despite seemingly strong labour market data. GDP growth recovered somewhat in Q2 2018, but the annual growth rate of 1.2% remains well below the long term average. Our view is that the UK economy still faces a challenging outlook as the country exits the European Union and Eurozone economic growth softens.
- Cost pressures were projected to ease but have risen more recently and are forecast to remain above the Bank's 2% target through most of the forecast period. The rising price of oil and tight labour market means inflation may remain above target for longer than expected. This means that strong real income growth is unlikely in the near future.
- The MPC has a bias towards tighter monetary policy but is reluctant to push interest rate expectations too strongly. We believe that MPC members consider both that: 1) ultra-low interest rates result in other economic problems, and 2) higher Bank Rate will be a more effective policy weapon should downside Brexit risks crystallise and cuts are required.
- The global economy appears to be slowing, particularly the Eurozone and China, where the effects of the trade war has been keenly felt. Despite slower growth, the European Central Bank is adopting a more strident tone in conditioning markets for the end of QE, the timing of the first rate hike (2019) and their path thereafter. Meanwhile, European political issues, mostly lately with Italy, continue.
- The US economy is expanding more rapidly. The Federal Reserve has tightened monetary policy by raising interest rates to the current 2%-2.25% range; further rate hikes are likely, which will start to slow economic growth. Central bank actions and geopolitical risks have and will continue to produce significant volatility in financial markets, including bond markets.

Forecast:

- The MPC has maintained expectations of a slow rise in interest rates over the forecast horizon. Our central case is for Bank Rate is to rise twice in 2019. The risks are weighted to the downside.
- Gilt yields have remained at low levels. We expect some upward movement from current levels based on our interest rate projections, the strength of the US economy and the ECB's forward guidance on higher rates. However, volatility arising from both economic and political events will continue to offer borrowing opportunities.

| | Dec-18 | Mar-19 | Jun-19 | Sep-19 | Dec-19 | Mar-20 | Jun-20 | Sep-20 | Dec-20 | Mar-21 | Jun-21 | Sep-21 | Dec-21 | Average |
|--------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|
| Official Bank Rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.17 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 0.75 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.17 |
| Downside risk | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.65 |
| 3-mth money market rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.17 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 0.80 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 1.20 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.25 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 1.17 |
| Downside risk | 0.20 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.68 |
| 1-yr money market rate | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.33 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 1.05 | 1.25 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.45 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.37 |
| Downside risk | 0.35 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.69 |
| 5-yr gilt yield | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.32 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 1.15 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.35 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 |
| Downside risk | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.54 |
| 10-yr gilt yield | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.32 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 1.60 | 1.65 | 1.65 | 1.70 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 |
| Downside risk | 0.30 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| 20-yr gilt yield | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.32 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 1.90 | 1.95 | 1.95 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.98 |
| Downside risk | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.43 |
| 50-yr gilt yield | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Upside risk | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.32 |
| Arlingclose Central Case | 1.80 | 1.85 | 1.85 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.90 | 1.88 |
| Downside risk | 0.30 | 0.40 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.43 |

PWLB Certainty Rate (Maturity Loans) = Gilt yield + 0.80% PWLB Local Infrastructure Rate (Maturity Loans) = Gilt yield + 0.60%

Appendix B - Existing Investment and Debt Portfolio Position

| | 30 Sept 2018 Actual Portfolio | 30 Sept 2018 Average Rate |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | £m | ~ % |
| External Borrowing: | | |
| PWLB – Fixed Rate | 44.3 | 5.76 |
| Local Authorities | 282.5 | 0.73 |
| LOBO Loans | 71.0 | 4.37 |
| Long Term Borrowing | 18.0 | 4.37 |
| Total External Borrowing | 415.8 | 2.04 |
| Other Long Term Liabilities: | | |
| PFI | 122.2 | n/a |
| Finance Leases | 1.6 | n/a |
| Total Gross External Debt | 539.6 | |
| Investments: | | |
| Managed in-house | | |
| Short-term Money Market Funds | 23.4 | 0.65 |
| Other Short Term investments | 18.1 | 0.92 |
| Managed externally | | |
| Pooled Funds | 25.0 | 3.80 |
| Other Funds | 4.0 | 0.89 |
| Total Investments | 70.4 | 1.81 |
| Net Debt | 367.2 | |

Treasury Management Strategy 2019-20

Published by: Plymouth City Council Ballard House West Hoe Road Plymouth PLI 3BJ

Tel 01752 312560 Email: chris.flower@plymouth.gov.uk www.plymouth.gov.uk

Treasury Management Strategy 2019/20 OFFICIAL